

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,767	08/21/2003	Hiroto Okawara	02975.000095.	7077
5514	7590 08/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER LLER PLAZA	SMITH, ARTHUR A		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2851	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•					
		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-</del>		
			44,767	OKAWARA, HIRO	ото ့		
Office Action Summary		Exar	niner	Art Unit			
			ur A Smith	2851	<u> </u>		
The I	MAILING DATE of this commu y	nication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress		
THE MAILIN  - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M  - If the period for  - If NO period fo  - Failure to reply Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD R IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN ime may be available under the provision ONTHS from the mailing date of this com r reply specified above is less than thirty ( r reply is specified above, the maximum s r within the set or extended period for repl ved by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within th tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may ne statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) M he application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>21 August</i> :	2003.				
′ <u> </u>	, ,	2b)⊠ This action					
3) Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of (	Claims						
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim( 6) ☑ Claim( 7) ☐ Claim(	(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the a the above claim(s) is/a (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1-5 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restricts.	are withdrawn from					
Application Pap	pers						
•	ecification is objected to by the		_		•		
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	int may not request that any obje			• •	55 4 4044 N		
	ement drawing sheet(s) including th or declaration is objected t	-	•	• • •			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ All 1.⊠ ( 2.□ ( 3.□ (	vledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio attached detailed Office actio	documents have documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of Refe	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)			
2) ☐ Notice of Draft 3) ☑ Information Di	tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or lail Date <u>9/26/03</u> .		Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)		

Art Unit: 2851

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsukamoto et al. (US 5204710).

In reference to claims 1 and 5, Tsukamoto et al. discloses a lens control apparatus, ref. 9, that outputs, to a driving unit, ref. 10, that drives a lens in an optical axis direction, a driving signal for moving the lens, col. col. 3 lines 57-64, the lens control apparatus comprising: a position sensor, ref. 18, that outputs a detection signal that changes periodically in accordance with a movement of the lens, col. 4 lines 22-29; a first calculation unit that calculates a differential value between a phase component of position detection data that have been obtained based on a detection signal of the position sensor when the lens has been moved to a predetermined reference position and a phase component of position control data for controlling the position of the lens and corresponding to the reference position, col. 6 lines 3-12; a second calculation unit that calculates the target position in the position control data based on the position detection data and the differential value, col. 7 lines 4-9; and a control circuit that outputs the driving signal based on the differential value and the target position in the position control data, col. 7 lines 9-17.

Art Unit: 2851

In reference to claim 2, Tsukamoto et al. discloses wherein the position sensor comprises a magnet member that is periodically magnetized, and a magnetic detector that moves relative to the magnet member when the lens is moved and that outputs a plurality of the position detection signals having different phases, in response to magnetic changes due to that movement, col. 19 lines 49-55 (Although reference is made only to the zoom encoder, ref. 16, the zoom encoder and the focus encoder are both the same type of encoders and thus both could be replaced by magnetic detectors).

In reference to claim 4, Tsukamoto et al. discloses a camera comprising; a lens; a driving unit that drives the lens in an optical axis direction, and a lens control apparatus according to claim 1, col. 1 lines 1-14.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukamoto et al. (US 5204710) in view of Hirasawa (US 5406345).

In reference to claim 3, Tsukamoto et al. discloses all the limitations of the parent claim as discussed above. Tsukamoto et al. does not disclose wherein the position sensor comprises an optical scale member having a reflection surface whose shape changes periodically, and an optical detector that moves relative to the optical scale

Art Unit: 2851

member when the lens is moved and that outputs a plurality of the position detection signals having different phases, in response to a received light amount among light that has been projected to and reflected by the scale member, which changes due to the movement. Hirasawa discloses wherein the position sensor comprises an optical scale member having a reflection surface whose shape changes periodically, and an optical detector that moves relative to the optical scale member when the lens is moved and that outputs a plurality of the position detection signals having different phases, in response to a received light amount among light that has been projected to and reflected by the scale member, which changes due to the movement. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to realize that the lens control device of Tsukamoto et al. could have been provided with optical detector as described by Hirasawa instead of an encoder. This would be done since Tsukamoto et al. recognizes that different detectors other than an encoder could be used, see col. 19 lines 49-55 and Hirasawa teaches that in replacement of an encoder and optical detector is equivalent, col. 5 lines 26-33.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaneda (US 6115552) and Ohtake (US 5687403) show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur A Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272 2129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM to

Art Unit: 2851

5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (572) 272 2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur A. Smith August 12, 2004